

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/509,706	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARVEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas E. Lazo	<b>Art Unit</b> 3745	

**All Participants:** (1) Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO).  
(2) Edward Yoo (41,435).

**Status of Application:** pending  
(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2008 **Time:** 1200

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*  
 Claims discussed:  
*1, 10, 14*  
 Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*An agreement was reached to more clearly claim the invention*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas E. Lazo/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3745

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)